

PTO/SB/64 (10-01)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
To respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requ

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT A UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)	ABANDONED Docket Number (Optional)
First named inventor: JESUS VAZQUEZ	
Application No.: 09/173,828	Art Unit:
Filed: 10/16/98	Examiner: KOCZO JR • M
Title: CONTINUOUS FLOW EXPANDABLE CHAMBER ROTARY DEVICES.	AND DYNAMIC DISPLACEMENT
Attention: Office of Petitions Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box DAC Washington, D.C. 20231	
NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (703) 305-9282.	
The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to a notice or action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus an extensions of time actually obtained.	
APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION	
NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items: (1) Petition fee; (2) Reply and/or issue fee; (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee —required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.	
1. Petition fee \[\frac{1}{2} \] Small entity-fee \[\frac{37}{40} \] (37 CFR 1.17(m)). Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	
Other than small entity - fee \$(37 CFR 1.17(m))	
2. Reply and/or fee	
A. The reply and/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form ofNEW_AMENDMENTS has been filed previously on 8/01/01 is enclosed herewith. B. The issue fee of \$ has been paid previously on is enclosed herewith.	
[Page 1 of 2]	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 1.0 hour to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

02/20/2002 GTEFFERA 00000150 09173828

640.00 UP 01 FC:241

RECEIVED FEB 2 0 2002

PTC/SB/64 (10-01)
Approved for use 10/31/2002, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

3. Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee	
Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.	
☐ A terminal disclaimer (and disclaimer fee (37 CFR 1.20(d)) of \$ for a small entity or \$ for other than a small entity) disclaiming the required period of time is enclosed herewith (see PTO/SB/63).	
4. STATEMENT: The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE. The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), subsections (III)(C) and (D))].	
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.	
January 28, 2002 Date Signature	
Telephone JESUS VAZOUEZ	
Number: (78.) 798-1581 Typed or printed name	
<u>#B-13 Alameda St. Urb.Sta.</u> Juanita Address	
Enclosures: X Fee Payment Bayamon, P.R. 00956	
☑ Reply	
☐ Terminal Disclaimer Form	
Additional sheets containing statements establishing unintentional delay	
Other:	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box DAC, Washington, D.C. 20231.	
transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 308-6916.	
/Date Signature	
Leve Vmaxx	
Type or printed name of person signing certificate	

Appn. Number:

09/173,828

Appn. Filed:

1998 Oct 15

Applicant:

Jesus Vazquez

Title:

المراجع ومدارة

Continuous Flow Expandable Chamber and Dynamic

Displacement Rotary Devices

Examiner:

Michael Koczo, Jr.

San Juan, PR 2001 December 18

Petition for Revival of an Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally under CFR 1.137(b)

Attention Office Of Petitions Assistant Commissioner Of Patents Box DAC Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Dear Sir or Madam

In response to a PTO advisory action dated November 8, 2001 and my subsequent telephone conversations with your office, I hereby request that my unintentionally abandoned patent application as indicated above to be revived or otherwise reinstated and also Request a Continued Examination (RCE PTO SB30 enclosed) of this patent application and that the amended claims submitted in my reply filed August 01, 2001 be considered. Filled form PTO/SB/64 is enclosed.

I must explain that due to financial constraints I was forced to try and file this patent application without the aid of an attorney needless to say these constraints have worsened as a result of the recession and the terrorist acts of September 11, 2001. As instructed and in a timely manner I responded on August 1, 2001 to the final rejection and submitted a postal money order # 90179970197 for \$485.00 covering the amendments to my claims. At the time I made no changes in the drawings because none were called for, according to the notice of draft person's patent drawing review (copy enclosed), dated 5/1/01 in which line (A) was checked off indicating that it was not objected to by the draft person under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

I was informed in the recent advisory action that these amendments would not be entered or considered which although they were just attempts at elucidating the nature of the invention were deemed to raise new issues that required further consideration. Please be informed that this involuntary or unintentional abandonment was due to nothing more than my ignorance in the procedures and time limits involved in this process. I believe things could not have turned out worse had I planned it that way with help. I was advised by officials at your offices and help assistance center (help line) that the money I submitted to cover the amendments to my claims may be utilized as part of the revival petition fee. I request if I may, considering that the aforementioned amendments were not entered or even considered (as well as the great difficulty that I have in raising money) that the money that was remitted to cover these amendments be utilized (or rolled over if you will) as part of the revival petition fee of \$640.00 for a small entity. \$640.00 less 485.00 = \$155.00 plus \$370.00 filing fee = \$525.00 I enclose a postal money order # 90425817685 for \$525.00 to cover the above mentioned actions.

Considering that this invention was published because I had filed a parallel PCT application, which was subsequently abandoned because I was unable to secure the additional fees that accompanied it, I would lose everything and be unable to file again for patent protection for this invention. The only reason for my slight delay in my request for revival is due to my inability to come up with the appropriate fees that must accompany the request. I therefore request that you Please revive my application and accept the corrected artwork as it seems to be requested in a subsequent office communication dated mailed 12/20/01. I appreciate your indulgence in this matter to the best of your allowed discretion.

Sincerely.

Jesus Vazquez

RECEIVED

FEB 2 0 2002

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Enclosures
Forms SB30, SB64,
Corrected Drawings,
Patent Drawing Review 3/26/01
U.S. Postal Money Order

Application No.

NOTICE OF DRAFTPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

erson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152. objected to by the Draftperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152 as indicated below. The Examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawings whe necessary. Corrected drawings must be submitted according to the instructions on the back of this notice. 7. SECTIONAL VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(3) 1. DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.84(a): Acceptable categories of drawings: Hatching not indicated for sectional portions of an object. Black ink. Color. . Color drawing are not acceptable until petition is granted. Fig.(s) Sectional designation should be noted with Arabic or Fig.(s) Pencil and non black ink is not permitted. Fig(s)_ Roman numbers. Fig.(s)_ 2. PHOTOGRAPHS. 37 CFR 1.84(b) 8. ARRANGEMENT OF VIEWS, 37 CFR 1.84(i) Photographs are not acceptable until petition is granted, Words do not appear on a horizontal, left-to-right fashion when 3 full-tone sets are required. Fig(s)_ page is either upright or turned, so that the top becomes the right Photographs not properly mounted (must brystol board or side, except for graphs. Fig.(s)_ photographic double-weight paper). Fig(s)_ . Views not on the same plane on drawing sheet. Fig.(s) Poor quailty (half-tone). Figis) 9. SCALE. 37 CFR 1.84(k) 3. TYPE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(e) Scale not large enough to show mechansim with crowding Paper not flexible, strong, white and durable. when drawing is reduced in size to two-thirds in reproduction. Erasures, alterations, overwritings, interlineations. 10. CHARACTER OF LINES, NUMBERS, & LETTERS. 37 CFR 1.84(1) folds, copy machine marks not acceptable. (too thin) Lines, numbers & letters not uniformly thick and well defined, Mylar, vellum paper is not acceptable (too thin). clean, durable and black (poor line quality). Fig(s) Fig.(s) 4. SIZE OF PAPER. 37 CFR 1.84(F): Acceptable sizes: 11. SHADING. 37 CFR 1.84(m) 21.0 cm by 29.7 cm (DIN size A4) Solid black areas pale. Fig.(s)_ 21.6 cm by 27.9 cm (8 1/2 x 11 inches) -Solid black shading not permitted. Fig.(s)_ All drawings sheets not the same size. - Shade lines, pale, rough and blurred. Fig.(s) 12. NUMBERS, LETTERS, & REFERENCE CHARACTERS. 5. MARGINS. 37 CFR 18.4(g): Acceptable margins: ·Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 4.0 cm Numbers and reference characters not plain and legible. SIZE: A4 Size Fig.(s) Top 2.5 cm Left 2.5 cm Right 1.5 cm Bottom 1.0 cm Figure legends are poor. Fig.(s)_ SIZE: 8 1/2 x 11 Numbers and reference characters not oriented in the same Margins not acceptable. Fig(s) direction as the view. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3) Fig.(s) Top (T) ___ Left (L) Engligh alphabet not used. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3) Fig.(s) Right (R) _____ Bottom (B) Numbers, letters and reference characters must be at least 6. VIEWS. CFR 1.84(h) .32 cm (1/8 inch) in height. 37 CFR 1.84(p)(3) Fig.(s) REMINDER: Specification may require revision to 13, LEAD LINES. 37 CFR 1.84(q) correspond to drawing changes. Lead lines cross each other. Fig.(s) Views connected by projection lines or lead lines. Lead lines missing. Fig.(s)_ Fig.(s) Partial views. 37 CFR 1.84(h)(2) 14. NUMBERING OF SHEETS OF DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.48(t) Brackets needed to show figure as one entity. Sheets not numbered consecutively, and in Ababic numerals beginning with number 1. Fig.(s) Views not labeled separately or properly. 15. NUMBERING OF VIEWS. 37 CFR 1.84(u) Views not numbered consecutively, and in Abrabic numerals, Enlarged view not labeled separately or properly. beginning with number 1. Fig.(s)_ Fig.(s) 16. CORRECTIONS. 37 CFR 1.84(w) Corrections not made from PTO-948 dated. 17. DESIGN DRAWINGS. 37 CFR 1.152 Surface shading shown not appropriate. Fig.(s)_ Solid black shading not used for color contrast. COMMENTS TELEPHONE NO. <u>703308</u> REVIEWER ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NO

APPLICANT'S COPY